# APPENDIX C

TEIR Checklist

# TEIR CHECKLIST - ALTERNATIVE A

A Federally Recognized Californian Indian Tribe seeking the approval of a gaming compact from the State of California Governor's Office must determine if the development of a gaming facility on land held in Trust by the Federal Government would adversely affect the off-Reservation environment. Typically, during the Compact negotiation process, the Tribe prepares a Tribal Environmental Impact Report (TEIR), which analyzes the potential off-Reservation impacts according to significance criteria similar to the California Environmental Quality Act (i.e. a CEQA-like TEIR). The Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians (Tribe) have opted to develop this checklist to supplement and expand on the EIS and to meet the TEIR requirements of the State compact process. This TEIR checklist cross-references the Tribe's EIS with the CEQA-like provisions required to be addressed during the development of a TEIR.

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS – ALTERNATIVE A**

The TEIR Checklist evaluates the environmental impacts of Alternative A the Barstow Casino-Hotel Complex Alternative. A detailed description of this alternative can be found in **Chapter 2.0** of the EIS/TEIR.; a description of the existing environmental conditions at the Barstow site is provided in **Chapter 3.0**; a discussion of the possible environmental effects of the project alternatives are provided in **Chapter 4.0**; and proposed mitigation measures are described in **Chapter 5.0**.

I.	AESTHETICS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Substantially damage off-Reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?				
II.	AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Involve changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of off-Reservation Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				$\boxtimes$
III.	AIR QUALITY	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		$\boxtimes$		
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?		$\boxtimes$		
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		$\boxtimes$		
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$	

<u>I</u> V.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any off- Reservation riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected off-Reservation wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an off-Reservation historical or archaeological resource?				
b)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique off-Reservation paleontological resource or site or unique off-Reservation geologic feature?				
c)	Disturb any off-Reservation human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				

<u>V</u> I.	GEOLOGY & SOILS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
	iv) Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Result in substantial off-Reservation soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
VII	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed off-Reservation school?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.				

VIII	. Water Resources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		$\boxtimes$		
b)	Substantially deplete off-Reservation groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there should be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion of siltation off-site?				
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding off-site?				
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff off-Reservation?				
f)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect off-Reservation flood flows?				
g)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
IX.	LAND USE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with any off-Reservation land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
b)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan covering off-Reservation lands?				

х.	MINERAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known off- Reservation mineral resource classified MRZ-2 by the State Geologist that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b)	Result in the loss of availability of an off-Reservation locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				
XI.	NOISE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project result in:				
a)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?		$\boxtimes$		
b)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?		$\boxtimes$		
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?		$\boxtimes$		
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?				
XII.	POPULATION AND HOUSING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Induce substantial off-Reservation population growth?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere off-Reservation?				

XIII	. PUBLIC SERVICES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered off-Reservation governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the off-Reservation public services:				
	Fire protection?		$\boxtimes$		
	Police protection?		$\boxtimes$		
	Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
	Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
	Other public facilities?		$\boxtimes$		
XIV	. RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Would the Proposed Project increase the use of existing off-Reservation neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
XV.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Cause an increase in off-Reservation traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated off-Reservation roads or highways?				
c)	Substantially increase hazards to an off-Reservation			$\boxtimes$	

TEIR Checklist – Alternative A 7 Los Coyotes Casino Project

design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access for off- Reservation responders?		$\boxtimes$		
XVI. UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Exceed off-Reservation wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				$\boxtimes$
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
d) Result in a determination by an off-Reservation wastewater treatment provider (if applicable) that serves or may serve the project that it has inadequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
XVII. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable off-Reservation? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a Proposed Project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past, current, or probable future projects.				

## TEIR CHECKLIST - ALTERNATIVE B

A Federally Recognized Californian Indian Tribe seeking the approval of a gaming compact from the State of California Governor's Office must determine if the development of a gaming facility on land held in Trust by the Federal Government would adversely affect the off-Reservation environment. Typically, during the Compact negotiation process, the Tribe prepares a Tribal Environmental Impact Report (TEIR), which analyzes the potential off-Reservation impacts according to significance criteria similar to the California Environmental Quality Act (i.e. a CEQA-like TEIR). The Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians (Tribe) have opted to develop this checklist to supplement and expand on the EIS and to meet the TEIR requirements of the State compact process. This TEIR checklist cross-references the Tribe's EIS with the CEQA-like provisions required to be addressed during the development of a TEIR.

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS – ALTERNATIVE B**

The TEIR Checklist evaluates the environmental impacts of Alternative B the Barstow Reduced Casino-Hotel Complex Alternative. A detailed description of this alternative can be found in **Chapter 2.0** of the EIS/TEIR.; a description of the existing environmental conditions at the Barstow site is provided in **Chapter 3.0**; a discussion of the possible environmental effects of the project alternatives are provided in **Chapter 4.0**; and proposed mitigation measures are described in **Chapter 5.0**.

I.	AESTHETICS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Substantially damage off-Reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?				
II.	AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Involve changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of off-Reservation Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				$\boxtimes$
III.	AIR QUALITY	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		$\boxtimes$		
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?		$\boxtimes$		
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?		$\boxtimes$		
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$	

<u>I</u> V.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any off- Reservation riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected off-Reservation wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an off-Reservation historical or archaeological resource?				
b)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique off-Reservation paleontological resource or site or unique off-Reservation geologic feature?				
c)	Disturb any off-Reservation human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				

<u>V</u> I.	GEOLOGY & SOILS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
	iv) Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Result in substantial off-Reservation soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
VII	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed off-Reservation school?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.				

VIII	. Water Resources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		$\boxtimes$		
b)	Substantially deplete off-Reservation groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there should be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion of siltation off-site?				
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding off-site?				
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff off-Reservation?				
f)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect off-Reservation flood flows?				
g)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
IX.	LAND USE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with any off-Reservation land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
b)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan covering off-Reservation lands?				

Los Coyotes Casino Project

X.	MINERAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known off- Reservation mineral resource classified MRZ-2 by the State Geologist that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b)	Result in the loss of availability of an off-Reservation locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				
			Less Than		
XI.	NOISE	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project result in:				
a)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?		$\boxtimes$		
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?		$\boxtimes$		
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?				
XII.	POPULATION AND HOUSING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Induce substantial off-Reservation population growth?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere off-Reservation?				

XIII	. PUBLIC SERVICES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered off-Reservation governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the off-Reservation public services:				
	Fire protection?				
	Police protection?		$\boxtimes$		
	Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
	Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
	Other public facilities?				
XIV	. RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Would the Proposed Project increase the use of existing off-Reservation neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
XV.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Cause an increase in off-Reservation traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated off-Reservation roads or highways?				
c)	Substantially increase hazards to an off-Reservation			$\boxtimes$	

TEIR Checklist – Alternative B 7 Los Coyotes Casino Project

design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access for off- Reservation responders?		$\boxtimes$		
XVI. UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Exceed off-Reservation wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				$\boxtimes$
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
d) Result in a determination by an off-Reservation wastewater treatment provider (if applicable) that serves or may serve the project that it has inadequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
XVII. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable off-Reservation? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a Proposed Project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past, current, or probable future projects.				

# TEIR CHECKLIST - ALTERNATIVE C

A Federally Recognized Californian Indian Tribe seeking the approval of a gaming compact from the State of California Governor's Office must determine if the development of a gaming facility on land held in Trust by the Federal Government would adversely affect the off-Reservation environment. Typically, during the Compact negotiation process, the Tribe prepares a Tribal Environmental Impact Report (TEIR), which analyzes the potential off-Reservation impacts according to significance criteria similar to the California Environmental Quality Act (i.e. a CEQA-like TEIR). The Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians (Tribe) have opted to develop this checklist to supplement and expand on the EIS and to meet the TEIR requirements of the State compact process. This TEIR checklist cross-references the Tribe's EIS with the CEQA-like provisions required to be addressed during the development of a TEIR.

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS – ALTERNATIVE C**

The TEIR Checklist evaluates the environmental impacts of Alternative C the Los Coyotes Casino Development Alternative. A detailed description of this alternative can be found in **Chapter 2.0** of the EIS/TEIR.; a description of the existing environmental conditions at the Los Coyotes site is provided in **Chapter 3.0**; a discussion of the possible environmental effects of the project alternatives are provided in **Chapter 4.0**; and proposed mitigation measures are described in **Chapter 5.0**.

I.	AESTHETICS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Substantially damage off-Reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?				
II.	AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Involve changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of off-Reservation Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				
III.	AIR QUALITY	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$	

<u>I</u> V.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any off- Reservation riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game +or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected off-Reservation wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an off-Reservation historical or archaeological resource?				
b)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique off-Reservation paleontological resource or site or unique off-Reservation geologic feature?				
c)	Disturb any off-Reservation human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				

Los Coyotes Casino Project

<u>V</u> I.	GEOLOGY & SOILS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				$\boxtimes$
	iv) Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Result in substantial off-Reservation soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
VII.	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed off-Reservation school?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.				

VIII	. Water Resources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Substantially deplete off-Reservation groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there should be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion of siltation off-site?				
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding off-site?				
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff off-Reservation?				
f)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect off-Reservation flood flows?				
g)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
IX.	LAND USE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with any off-Reservation land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
b)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan covering off-Reservation lands?				

X.	MINERAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known off- Reservation mineral resource classified MRZ-2 by the State Geologist that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b)	Result in the loss of availability of an off-Reservation locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				
XI.	NOISE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project result in:				
a)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?		$\boxtimes$		
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?		$\boxtimes$		
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?				
XII	POPULATION AND HOUSING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Induce substantial off-Reservation population growth?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere off-Reservation?				

XIII	. PUBLIC SERVICES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered off-Reservation governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the off-Reservation public services:				
	Fire protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Police protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
	Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
	Other public facilities?			$\boxtimes$	
XIV	. RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Would the Proposed Project increase the use of existing off-Reservation neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
XV.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would	d the project:				
a)	Cause an increase in off-Reservation traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated off-Reservation roads or highways?				
c)	Substantially increase hazards to an off-Reservation			$\boxtimes$	

TEIR Checklist – Alternative C 7 Los Coyotes Casino Project

design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access for off- Reservation responders?				
XVI. UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Exceed off-Reservation wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
d) Result in a determination by an off-Reservation wastewater treatment provider (if applicable) that serves or may serve the project that it has inadequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
XVII. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable off-Reservation? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a Proposed Project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past, current, or probable future projects.				

# TEIR CHECKLIST - ALTERNATIVE D

A Federally Recognized Californian Indian Tribe seeking the approval of a gaming compact from the State of California Governor's Office must determine if the development of a gaming facility on land held in Trust by the Federal Government would adversely affect the off-Reservation environment. Typically, during the Compact negotiation process, the Tribe prepares a Tribal Environmental Impact Report (TEIR), which analyzes the potential off-Reservation impacts according to significance criteria similar to the California Environmental Quality Act (i.e. a CEQA-like TEIR). The Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians (Tribe) have opted to develop this checklist to supplement and expand on the EIS and to meet the TEIR requirements of the State compact process. This TEIR checklist cross-references the Tribe's EIS with the CEQA-like provisions required to be addressed during the development of a TEIR.

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS – ALTERNATIVE D**

The TEIR Checklist evaluates the environmental impacts of Alternative D the Los Coyotes Campground Development Alternative. A detailed description of this alternative can be found in **Chapter 2.0** of the EIS/TEIR.; a description of the existing environmental conditions at the Los Coyotes site is provided in **Chapter 3.0**; a discussion of the possible environmental effects of the project alternatives are provided in **Chapter 4.0**; and proposed mitigation measures are described in **Chapter 5.0**.

I.	AESTHETICS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Substantially damage off-Reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?				
II.	AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Involve changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of off-Reservation Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				
III.	AIR QUALITY	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?			$\boxtimes$	
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$	

<u>I</u> V.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse impact, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any off- Reservation riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game +or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected off-Reservation wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an off-Reservation historical or archaeological resource?				
b)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique off-Reservation paleontological resource or site or unique off-Reservation geologic feature?				
c)	Disturb any off-Reservation human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				

<u>V</u> I.	GEOLOGY & SOILS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			$\boxtimes$	
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
	iv) Landslides?				$\boxtimes$
b)	Result in substantial off-Reservation soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
VII.	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the off-Reservation public or the off-Reservation environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed off-Reservation school?				
d)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.				

VIII	. Water Resources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Would the project:						
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements off-Reservation?			$\boxtimes$		
b)	Substantially deplete off-Reservation groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there should be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion of siltation off-site?					
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding off-site?					
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff off-Reservation?					
f)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect off-Reservation flood flows?					
g)	Expose off-Reservation people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					
IX.	LAND USE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Would the project:						
a)	Conflict with any off-Reservation land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
b)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan covering off-Reservation lands?					

X.	MINERAL RESOURCES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Woul	Would the project:						
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known off- Reservation mineral resource classified MRZ-2 by the State Geologist that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?						
b)	Result in the loss of availability of an off-Reservation locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?						
XI.	NOISE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Woul	Would the project result in:						
a)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?						
b)	Exposure of off-Reservation persons to excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				$\boxtimes$		
c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?				$\boxtimes$		
d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the off-Reservation vicinity of the project?						
XII	POPULATION AND HOUSING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Woul	d the project:						
a)	Induce substantial off-Reservation population growth?			$\boxtimes$			
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere off-Reservation?						

XIII	. PUBLIC SERVICES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered off-Reservation governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the off-Reservation public services:				
	Fire protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Police protection?			$\boxtimes$	
	Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
	Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
	Other public facilities?			$\boxtimes$	
XIV	. RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Woul	d the project:				
a)	Would the Proposed Project increase the use of existing off-Reservation neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
XV.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:					
a)	Cause an increase in off-Reservation traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume-to-capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated off-Reservation roads or highways?				
c)	Substantially increase hazards to an off-Reservation				

TEIR Checklist – Alternative D 7 Los Coyotes Casino Project

design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
d) Result in inadequate emergency access for off- Reservation responders?				
XVI. UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Exceed off-Reservation wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant off-Reservation environmental effects?				
d) Result in a determination by an off-Reservation wastewater treatment provider (if applicable) that serves or may serve the project that it has inadequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
XVII. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable off-Reservation? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a Proposed Project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past, current, or probable future projects.				